

## Construction of Poisson Processes

CP-1

Let  $\Lambda$  have no point masses and satisfy  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ .

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid with  $P(X_k \in A) = \Lambda(A) / \Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ .

→ Let  $M$  be independent of the  $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and have a Poisson probability mass function with parameter  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ .

Remark: Since  $\Lambda$  has no point masses,  $X_i \neq X_j$  with probability one, and thus

$|\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}| = n$  with probability one.

Define the random counting measure

$$N(A) := \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^M I_A(X_k), & M \geq 1, \\ 0, & M = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us first compute, using the law of total probability,

$$\begin{aligned} P(N(A) = n) &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P(N(A) = n \mid M=m) P(M=m) \\ &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m I_A(X_k) = n \mid M=m\right) P(M=m) \\ &= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} P\left(\sum_{k=1}^m I_A(X_k) = n \mid M=m\right) P(M=m) \end{aligned}$$

by substitution,

CP-2

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{I}_A(X_k) = n \right) \mathcal{P}(M=m), \text{ by II.}$$

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(n \text{ of } X_k \in A \ \& \ (m-n) \text{ of } X_k \notin A)}_{\binom{m}{n} \mathcal{P}(X_k \in A)^n \mathcal{P}(X_k \notin A)^{m-n}} \mathcal{P}(M=m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{n!(m-n)!} \left[ \frac{\lambda(A)}{\lambda(\mathbb{X})} \right]^n \left[ \frac{\lambda(A^c)}{\lambda(\mathbb{X})} \right]^{m-n} \cdot \frac{\lambda(\mathbb{X})^m}{m!} e^{-\lambda(\mathbb{X})}$$

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(A)^n e^{-\lambda(\mathbb{X})}}{n!} \cdot \frac{\lambda(A^c)^{m-n}}{(m-n)!}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda(A)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(\mathbb{X})} \cdot e^{+\lambda(A^c)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda(A)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda(A)}.$$

It remains to show that for disjoint  $A_1, \dots, A_J$ ,  $N(A_1), \dots, N(A_J)$  are i.i.d. We proceed as before:  
Let  $n_i = n_1 + \dots + n_J$ .

$$\mathcal{P}(N(A_1) = n_1, \dots, N(A_J) = n_J) = \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}(N(A_i) = n_1, \dots, N(A_J) = n_J \mid M=m) \cdot \mathcal{P}(M=m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathcal{P} \left( \begin{array}{l} n_1 \text{ of } X_1, \dots, X_m \in A_1, \dots, n_J \text{ of } X_1, \dots, X_m \in A_J, \\ \& \underbrace{m-n}_{=: n_0} \text{ of } X_1, \dots, X_m \in \underbrace{(\bigcup_{j=1}^J A_j)^c}_{=: A_0} \end{array} \right) \cdot \mathcal{P}(M=m)$$

$$= \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \binom{m}{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_J} \left[ \frac{\lambda(A_0)}{\lambda(\mathbb{X})} \right]^{n_0} \dots \left[ \frac{\lambda(A_J)}{\lambda(\mathbb{X})} \right]^{n_J} \cdot \frac{\lambda(\mathbb{X})^m e^{-\lambda(\mathbb{X})}}{m!}$$

$$= \frac{\Lambda(A_1)^{n_1} \cdots \Lambda(A_J)^{n_J}}{n_1! \cdots n_J!} e^{-\Lambda(X)} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(A_0)^{m-n}}{(m-n)!}}_{= e^{-\Lambda(A_0)}}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\Lambda(A_j)^{n_j}}{n_j!} e^{-\Lambda(A_j)},$$

since  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X}) - \Lambda(A_0) = \Lambda(A_0^c) = \Lambda\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^J A_j^c\right) = \sum_{j=1}^J \Lambda(A_j^c)$ .

We thus see that  $N$  is a Poisson counting measure, or a Poisson process.

We next turn to the case  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X}) = \infty$ . We restrict attention to the case in which  $\mathbb{X}$  has a countable partition,  $\mathbb{X} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{X}_k$  with  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X}_k) < \infty$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(\mathbb{X}_k) = \infty$ . (Such a measure is said to be  $\sigma$ -finite.) This would be the case, for example, if  $\Lambda(A) = \int_A \lambda dx$ , for  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\lambda$  a constant.

The construction is straightforward. For  $k=1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\{X_{k1}, X_{k2}, \dots\}$  be independent copies of iid RVs where  $\mathcal{P}(X_{k\ell} \in A) = \Lambda(A \cap \mathbb{X}_k) / \Lambda(\mathbb{X}_k)$  for  $k=1, 2, \dots$ .

Furthermore, independent of the  $\{X_{k\ell}\}$ , let  $M_1, M_2, \dots$  be II Poisson RVs with  $M_k$  having parameter  $\Lambda(\mathbb{X}_k)$ .

Put  $N_k(A) := \sum_{k=1}^{M_k} I_A(X_{k,k})$  and

$$N(A) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{M_k} I_A(X_{k,l}).$$

By construction, the  $N_k$  are  $\perp$  Poisson processes, and so  $N(A)$  is a Poisson RV with parameter

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda(A \cap \Sigma_k) &= \lambda\left(A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_k \right]\right) \\ &= \lambda(A \cap \Sigma) \\ &= \lambda(A). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A_1, \dots, A_J$  are disjoint, we must show that  $N(A_1), \dots, N(A_J)$  are  $\perp$ . Now,  $N(A_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N_k(A_j)$ . Consider two terms from different sums, say  $N_k(A_j)$  and  $N_{k'}(A_{j'})$  for  $j \neq j'$ . If  $k = k'$ , then  $N_k(A_j) \perp N_k(A_{j'})$  because  $N_k$  is a Poisson process &  $A_j$  and  $A_{j'}$  are disjoint. Since  $N_k$  &  $N_{k'}$  are  $\perp$  for  $k \neq k'$ , we have  $N_k(A_j)$  and  $N_{k'}(A_{j'})$   $\perp$  in this case as well. It then follows that the sums  $N(A_1), \dots, N(A_J)$  are  $\perp$ .